

Purely Functional I/O in Scala

Rúnar Óli Bjarnason

[@runarorama](#)

Scala.IO, Paris 2013

What you should take away from this talk

- You do not need side-effects to do I/O.
- Purely functional I/O really is pure.
- It is also practical.
- How it's done and why it's done that way.

“Purely functional”

A pure function of type $(A \Rightarrow B)$
takes an argument of type A
and returns a value of type B .

And does nothing else.

Pure functions

A pure function always returns the same value given the same arguments.

Pure functions

A pure function has no dependencies other than its arguments.

Pure functions

The result of calling a pure function can be understood completely by looking at the returned value.

Pure functions are

- Compositional
- Modular
- Testable
- Scalable
- *Comprehensible*

Pure functions are awesome. So why should we resort to side effects when doing I/O?

Problems with I/O side effects

- No separation of I/O code and logic
- Monolithic, non-modular, limited reuse
- Novel compositions are difficult
- Difficult to test
- Difficult to scale

```
class Cafe {  
  def buyCoffee(cc: CreditCard): Coffee = {  
    val cup = new Coffee()  
    cc.charge(cup.price)  
    cup  
  }  
}
```

```
class Cafe {  
  def buyCoffee(cc: CreditCard, p: Payments): Coffee = {  
    val cup = new Coffee()  
    p.charge(cc, cup.price)  
    cup  
  }  
}
```

```
class Cafe {  
  def buyCoffee(cc: CreditCard): (Coffee, Charge) = {  
    val cup = new Coffee()  
    (cup, new Charge(cc, cup.price))  
  }  
}
```

The big idea

Instead of performing I/O as a side effect, return a value to the caller that describes how we want to interact with the I/O system.

In short: embed an I/O scripting language in Scala.

```
abstract class Program {  
    final def main(args: Array[String]): Unit =  
        Program.unsafePerformIO(pureMain(args))  
  
    def pureMain(args: IndexedSeq[String]): IO[Unit]  
}  
  
object Program {  
    private def unsafePerformIO[A](a: IO[A]): A = ???  
}
```

`getLine: IO[String]`

`putLine: String => IO[Unit]`

“Do I have to change all my functions to use **IO[T]** instead of **T**?”


```
trait IO[A] {  
  def map[B](f: A => B): IO[B]  
  
}  
  
object IO {  
  def pure[A](a: => A): IO[A]  
}
```

```
trait IO[A] {  
  def map[B](f: A => B): IO[B]  
  
  def flatMap[B](f: A => IO[B]): IO[B]  
}  
  
object IO {  
  def pure[A](a: => A): IO[A]  
}
```

```
val ask: IO[Unit] = for {  
  _    <- putLine("What is your name?")  
  name <- getLine  
  _    <- putLine("Hello, " ++ name)  
} yield ()
```

```
val ask: IO[Unit] =  
  putLine("What is your name?").flatMap { _ =>  
    getLine.flatMap { name =>  
      putLine("Hello, " ++ name)  
    }  
  }
```

Type safety

```
"Hello, " ++ getLine
```

```
error: type mismatch;
```

```
found   : IO[String]
```

```
required: String
```

```
      "Hello, " ++ getLine  
                    ^
```

I/O monad

```
trait IO[A] {  
  def map[B](f: A => B): IO[B] =  
    flatMap(a => pure(a))  
  
  def flatMap[B](f: A => IO[B]): IO[B]  
}  
  
object IO {  
  def pure[A](f: => A): IO[A]  
}
```

Monads

```
trait Monad[M[_]] {  
  def flatMap[B](a: M[A])(f: A => M[B]): M[B]  
  def pure[A](a: => A): M[A]  
}
```

```
def sequence[A](ios: List[IO[A]]): IO[List[A]]
def traverse[A,B](as: List[A])(f: A => IO[B]): IO[List[B]]
def replicateM[A](n: Int, io: IO[A]): IO[List[A]]
def while(b: IO[Boolean]): IO[Unit]
def unzip(p: IO[(A,B)]): (IO[A], IO[B])
def join[A](a: IO[IO[A]]): IO[A]
```



```
val lines = List(  
  "Háfrónskri og harðsoðinni",  
  "hreintyngdur ég hefja meg",  
  "brynfjörurpt með í mynni",  
  "morgunmál á hverjum degi.")  
  
val x = traverse(lines)(putLine)
```

What have we gained?

- Separation of I/O code from your logic
- Type safety
- First-class compositional I/O actions
- **Algebraic reasoning**
- Other benefits, depending on the implementation

The deferred effects model

```
class IO[A](run: () => A)
```

The deferred effects model

```
object IOMonad extends Monad[IO] {  
  def pure[A](a: => A) = new IO(() => a)  
  def flatMap[A,B](ma: IO[A])(  
    f: A => IO[B]): IO[B] =  
    new IO { () => f(ma.run()).run() }  
}
```

The world-as-state model

```
class IO[A](run: RealWorld => (A, RealWorld))
```

The world-as-state model

```
object IOMonad extends Monad[IO] {  
  def pure[A](a: => A) = new IO(rw => (a, rw))  
  def flatMap[A,B](ma: IO[A])(  
    f: A => IO[B]): IO[B] =  
    new IO { rw =>  
      val (a, rw1) = ma.run(rw)  
      f(a).run(rw1)  
    }  
}
```

Example actions

```
def io[A](a: => A): IO[A] =  
  new IO(() => a)
```

```
def putLine(s: String): IO[Unit] =  
  io(println(s))
```

```
def getLine: IO[String] =  
  io(readLine)
```

Problems

- A function is totally opaque.
- **RealWorld** is a lie.
- Conflates programs hang or crash with programs that remain productive.
- No story on concurrency.
- Haven't really gained any testability.
- StackOverflowError

Free monad model

```
sealed trait IO[F[_],A] { ... }
```

```
case class Return[F[_],A](a: A) extends IO[F,A]
```

```
case class Req[F[_],I,A](  
  i: F[I],  
  k: I => IO[F,A]) extends IO[F,A]
```

Free monad

```
sealed abstract class IO[F[_],A] {  
  def flatMap[B](f: A => IO[F,B]): IO[F,B] =  
    this match {  
      case Return(a) => f(a)  
      case Req(r, k) =>  
        Req(r, k andThen (_ flatMap f))  
    }  
  def map[B](f: A => B): IO[F,B] =  
    flatMap(a => Return(f(a)))  
}
```

Console-only I/O

```
sealed trait Console[A]
case object GetLine extends Console[String]
case class PutLine(s: String) extends Console[Unit]

type ConsoleIO[A] = IO[Console, A]

val getLine: ConsoleIO[String] =
  Req(GetLine, s => Return(s))

def putLine(s: String): ConsoleIO[Unit] =
  Req(PutLine(s), _ => Return(()))
```

A console program

```
val ask: ConsoleIO[Unit] = for {  
  _ <- putLine("What is your name?")  
  name <- getLine  
  _ <- putLine("Hello, " ++ name)  
} yield ()
```

A console program

```
val ask: ConsoleIO[Unit] =  
  Req(PutLine("What is your name?"), _ =>  
    Req(GetLine, name =>  
      Req(PutLine("Hello, " ++ name), _ =>  
        Return(()))))
```

Any-effect I/O

```
type AnyIO[A] = IO[Function0, A]
```

Running actions

```
trait ~>[F[_],G[_]] {  
  def apply[A](f: F[A]): G[A]  
}  
  
sealed abstract class IO[F[_],A] {  
  ...  
  def runIO[G[_]:Monad](f: F ~> G): G[A] = {  
    val G = implicitly[Monad[G]]  
    this match {  
      case Return(a) => G.unit(a)  
      case Req(r, k) =>  
        G.bind(f(r))(k andThen (_.runIO(f)))  
    }  
  }  
  ...  
}
```

Running actions

```
type Id[A] = A
```

```
object SideEffect extends (Function0 ~> Id) {  
  def apply[A](f: Function0[A]): A = f()  
}
```

```
def unsafePerformIO[A](io: IO[Function0, A]): A =  
  io.runIO(SideEffect)
```


Running actions

```
implicit object ConsoleEffect extends (Console ~> Id) {  
  def apply[A](c: Console[A]): A =  
    r match {  
      case GetLine => readLine  
      case PutLine(s) => println(s)  
    }  
}
```

Running actions

```
case class InOut(in: List[String], out: List[String])
case class State[A](runState: InOut => (A, InOut))

object PureConsole extends (Console ~> State) {
  def apply[A](c: Console[A]): State[A] =
    State(s => (c, s) match {
      case (GetLine, InOut(in, out)) =>
        (in.head, InOut(in.tail, out))
      case (PutLine(l), InOut(in, out)) =>
        ((), InOut(in, l :: out))
    })
}
```

Running actions

```
scala> val ask = for {  
  |   _    <- putLine("What is your name?")  
  |   name <- getLine  
  |   _    <- putLine("Hello, " ++ name)  
  | } yield ()
```

```
ask: IO[Console, Unit] = IO@364032b7
```

```
scala> val s = ask.runIO(PureConsole)  
s: State[Unit] = State(<function1>)
```

```
scala> val ls = s.runState(InOut(List("Alice"), Nil))  
ls: InOut = InOut(Nil, List("Hello, Alice", "What is  
your name?"))
```

Running actions

```
scala> val ask = for {  
  |   _    <- putLine("What is your name?")  
  |   name <- getLine  
  |   _    <- putLine("Hello, " ++ name)  
  | } yield ()
```

```
ask: IO[Console, Unit] = IO@364032b7
```

```
scala> val s = ask.runIO(ConsoleEffect)  
What is your name?
```

Concurrency story

```
type AsyncIO[A] = IO[Future, A]
```

Breakpoints

```
def runUntilFailure[F[_],A](io: IO[F,A])(f: F => Id):  
  Either[(Throwable, IO[F,A]), A] =  
    io match {  
      case Return(a) => Right(a)  
      case Req(r, k) => try {  
        runUntilFailure(k(f(r)))(f)  
      } catch {  
        case e: Throwable => Left((e, io))  
      }  
    }
```

What have we gained?

- An **IO** data type that we can inspect and is highly extensible.
- We can test programs without performing their I/O actions (e.g. **Console**).
- Concurrency: We simply build asynchronous requests into our **F** type.

StackOverflowError

- See *Stackless Scala With Free Monads*, a paper from Scala Days 2012.
<http://goo.gl/X0i03M>
- See also **scalaz.Free**

SOE Problem

```
for {  
  x <- a  
  y <- b  
  ...  
} yield ()
```

SOE Problem

```
a.flatMap(av =>  
  b.flatMap(bv =>  
    c.flatMap(cv =>  
      d.flatMap(dv =>  
        e.flatMap(ev =>  
          ...
```

SOE Solution

```
sealed abstract class IO[F[_],A]
```

```
case class Pure[F[_],A](a: A) extends IO[F,A]
```

```
case class Request[F[_],I,A](  
  req: F[I],  
  k: I => IO[A]) extends IO[A]
```

```
case class FlatMap[F[_],A,B](  
  sub: IO[F,A],  
  k: A => IO[F,B]  
) extends IO[F,B]
```

Practical Streaming I/O

- The *scalaz-stream* library
- *Advanced Stream Processing in Scala*
Paul Chiusano, NEScala 2013.

Streaming I/O

```
sealed abstract class Process[+F[_],+O]
```

```
case class Emit[+F[_],+O](  
  o: Seq[O],  
  k: Process[F[_],O]) extends Process[F,O]
```

```
case class Await[+F[_],I,+O](  
  req: F[I],  
  k: I => Process[F,O],  
  fallback: Process[F,O],  
  cleanup: Process[F,O]) extends Process[F,O]
```

```
case class Halt(e: Throwable)  
  extends Process[Nothing,Nothing]
```

Conclusion

- Purely functional I/O is possible and practical in Scala.
- It has a programming model vastly superior to relying on side-effects.
- The less powerful the representation, the more useful it is.